Minnesota 3M PFC Settlement

Overview of recommended Option 1 – Community projects with a treatment threshold of HI > 0.5 and GAC

Key Characteristics

- Treatment to a threshold of HI > 0.5 using GAC
- Funding of public water system O&M for approximately 40 years
- Funding of private well O&M for over 100 years
- Funding for protecting a sustainable water supply into the future
- Drinking water source remains groundwater

Initial Capital Elements

- 2,062 homes with new connections to municipal public water systems
- A total of 236 private wells with POETS (of these, 98 are new wells)
- 5 new public wells built (3 of these replace contaminated wells)
- 6 new treatment plants with a capacity of 23,580 gpm and 1 modified treatment plant with additional capacity of 1,750 gpm
- 33 existing and proposed public wells receiving treatment
- 72 miles of water mains

Why Select this Option?

- HI > 0.5 provides a resiliency to potentially lower HRL/HBV PFAS values or changing levels of contamination in the future
- Communities will bear a lesser cost to continue treatment below HI > 1 once Settlement funds are depleted than they would under recommended Option 2 (HI > 0.3)
- Provides for most years of O&M coverage out of Settlement funds

PFAS-Eligible Costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial capital costs</td>
<td>$302.5 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M costs for public water systems</td>
<td>$147 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O&amp;M costs for private wells</td>
<td>$19 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capital costs for potential additional neighborhood hookups</td>
<td>$41 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Future contingency for HBV/HRL and plume movement, and cost over-runs</td>
<td>$38 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking water protection</td>
<td>$70 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustainability and conservation</td>
<td>$60 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State administration</td>
<td>$22 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percent of $700 million

- 43%
- 21%
- 10%
- 9%
- 6%
- 5%
- 3%
- 3%
Community elements of recommended Option 1 – Community projects with a treatment threshold of $HI > 0.5$ and GAC

1. Supply private wells with POETS if over threshold
2. Treat 8 of 12 existing public wells
   - Replace 2 existing public wells with 1 new public well
   - 2 new treatment plants
   - Connect 67 homes
   - Supply other private wells with POETS if over threshold
3. Drinking water supply from groundwater for future growth?
   - Connect 257 homes
   - Supply other private wells with POETS if over threshold
4. Connect 453 homes
   - Supply other private wells with POETS if over threshold
5. Interconnect with Woodbury
   - Connect 9 homes
   - Supply other private wells with POETS if over threshold
6. Expand treatment plant to treat 2 of 9 existing public wells and 2 new public wells
   - Connect 58 homes
   - Supply other private wells with POETS if over threshold
7. Treat 1 existing public well
   - 1 new treatment plant
8. Treat 3 of 3 public wells
   - 1 new treatment plant
   - Connect 28 homes
   - Supply other private wells with POETS if over threshold
9. 2 new public wells
   - 1 new treatment plant
   - Connect 1,190 homes to new distribution system
10. Interconnect with Newport
    - Treat 14 of 19 existing public wells
     - 1 new treatment plant
     - Supply other private wells with POETS if over threshold

* Lake Elmo may need alternate sources of water to avoid adverse effects on White Bear Lake. Initial capital funds provide funding for utilizing groundwater in ways that comply with the current court order. This funding level is based on a cost estimate of creating an interconnect from southern Woodbury, however other approaches within that funding range may also be explored.